* Jameal’s and Ole’s combined thoughts on figure outline
  + Fig 1. Conceptual schematic showing important interactions in WA kelp forests (silhouettes and arrows)
    - I would make sure to include all three major kelp species in this diagram (Nereo, Macro, Ptero)
  + Fig 2. Map
    - highlight more otters in 3 southern sites and fewer/no otters at TI and NB.
  + Fig 3. Time series of sea stars, sea urchins. Maybe seastar prey vs seastars.
    - Seastars show no sign of recovery and are at low abundance
    - Sea urchins increasing, especially at TI.
    - Add ordination plot (Fig. 3 currently) that show how broader communities clump by site, NOT by year 🡪 MHW/dieoff not driving seastar/urchin community structure
  + Fig 4. Time series of kelps and kelp vs urchins (add Kvitek data for historical baseline??)
    - Nereo declining, but sites doing different things 🡪 MHW/dieoff not driving kelp community structure
    - Less Nereo where there are more urchins at TI
    - Pairwise plots of Nereo v. Macro, Nereo v. Ptero, Macro v. Ptero? (competition / apparent competition). Helps us get to functional redundancy arguments in discussion
  + Fig 5. Time series of rockfish YOYs, multivariate analysis showing habitat does not help us understand variation in fish community composition
    - Copper dynamics diff than blk/yt, not covarying with kelp
    - Modified version of current Fig. 3 in manuscript, but just for rockfish, to show points clump by year, not so much by site???.
    - Is it worth making a paired set of plots for the non-YOY group? I'd be curious if they look more like inverts or more like the rockfish yoy.
* Open questions -
  + Worth bringing in Kvitek data?
  + Worth bringing in WDNR kelp data?
  + How do we deal with the "where are the otters" questions...